

# supervisor

## Prof. Dr. FRIED MIKLOS

**Combinatorial Preparation** and Characterization **Methods for High Through-put Study of Advanced Functional** Materials



To understand and optimize the electrochromic behavior of mixed metal oxides deposited by reactive sputtering.



## Research Work

We will prepare thin films of mixed Titanium, Tin **Oxide and WO<sub>3</sub>-MoO<sub>3</sub>** mixed layers on glass by reactive DC magnetron sputtering. The deposited AxB1-xOn type films will be Characterized by a variety of methods.

#### **Research methods:**

**Pulsed mode reactive DC** 

magnetron, Biased RF

sputtering systems (see Fig. 1)

and Laser ablation deposition

system

Characterization methods

**Preparation** 

*methods* 

Spectroscopic Ellipsometry, Rutherford Backscattering Spectrometry, Transmission Electron Microscopy, Scanning Electron Microscopy and Atomic Force Microscopy



Figure (1) Schematic picture of the deposition arrangement and the photograph of 30x30 cm substrate with composition-gradient layers. Colored bands show thickness and composition gradient.

#### The research evaluations (The Result)

First half year we measured the  $WO_3/MoO_3$  samples (like the one on Fig. 1) and fit the measurement by the CompleteEASE Wollam program shown in Figure (2).



Fig. 2 a., Composition-map along a line by Rutherford Backscattering Spectrometry.
b., One Rutherford Backscattering Spectrometry example near the centre position.



### Fig. 2 c., Ellipsometric thickness mapping of the WO3/MoO3 combinatorial layer.

WO3 by Cauchy Spectroscopic Data At X=-4.5, Y=0



Figure (3) presented Ellipsometry mapping of the 100% WO<sub>3</sub> (left side of the sample) by Cauchy-Dispersion Formula Spectroscopic from (375-1700) nm.

- Layer # 2 = Cauchy Thickness # 2 = 386.61 nm (fit) A = 2.041 (fit) B = 0.01603 (fit) C = 0.00450 (fit) k Amplitude = 0.02065 (fit) Exponent = 3.146 (fit) Band Edge = 400.0 nm Layer # 1 = INTR JAW Thickness # 1 = 1.00 nmSubstrate = <u>SI JAW</u> Angle Offset = -0.068MSE = 25.2 $A = 2.041 \pm 0.002$  $B = 0.0160 \pm 0.0007$  $C = 0.00450 \pm 8.9924E-05$ k Amplitude =  $0.02065 \pm 0.0006$ Exponent =  $3.15 \pm 0.2$ Total Thickness =  $387.6 \pm 0.5$  nm.

wo3 tauc-lorenz Spectroscopic Data At X=-4.5, Y=0



Figure (4) presented Ellipsometry mapping of the 100% WO<sub>3</sub> (left side of the sample) by Tauc-Lorenz Function Spectroscopic from (300-1700) nm.

MoO3 cauchy Spectroscopic Data At X=3.5, Y=0



Figure (5) presented Ellipsometry mapping of the 100% MoO<sub>3</sub> (right side of the sample) by Cauchy-Dispersion Formula Spectroscopic from (375-1700) nm.

MoO3 tAUC LORENZ Spectroscopic Data At X=3.5, Y=0



Figure (6) presented Ellipsometry mapping of the 100% MoO<sub>3</sub> (right side of the sample) by Tauc-Lorenz Function Spectroscopic from (300-1700) nm.

WO3-MoO3 EMA TAUC-LORENZ Spectroscopic Data At X=-1, Y=0



Figure (7) presented Ellipsometry mapping of the Sample: WO<sub>3</sub>-MoO<sub>3</sub>-on-10cm-long-siliconin by EMA Tauc-Lorenz Function Spectroscopic from (300-1700) nm.

#### EMA % (MoO3 %) Thickness [nm] MSE vs Position [cm]



Figure (8) presented Ellipsometry mapping of the EMA% (MoO<sub>3</sub>) Thickness (nm), MSE vs Position(cm).

Layer Commands: Add Delete Save Include Surface Roughness = <u>OFF</u> Layer # 2 = <u>EMA</u> Thickness # 2 = <u>9906.98 nm</u> (fit) # of Constituents = 2+ Material 1 = wo3 tauc-lorenz + Material 2 = MoO3 TAUC LORENZ EMA % (Mat 2) = <u>100.0</u> (fit) depolarization = 0.333 Analysis Mode = <u>Bruggeman</u> Layer # 1 =  $INTR_JAW$  Thickness # 1 = 1.00 nmSubstrate = <u>SI\_JAW</u> Angle Offset = -0.068

- + MODEL Options
- + FIT Options
- + OTHER Options

**Configure Options** 

**Turn Off All Fit Parameters** 

- MSE = 598.049
- EMA % (Mat 2) = 100.0 ± 5.40
- Total Thickness = 9907. ± 10.870 nm.



The physical, chemical and structural properties of the cutting-edge materials are strongly dependent on their composition. The common procedure to reveal the properties of concentration dependent phases is the preparation of numerous two (or more)-component samples, one for each **C**<sub>A</sub>/**C**<sub>B=1-A</sub> composition, and the investigations of the individuals.

This is a low efficiency procedure that costs enormous time of man and machine.

**Contrarily, using the combinatorial** material synthesis approach, materials libraries can be produced in one experiment that contain up to several hundred or thousands of samples on a single substrate.

In order to identify optimized material structures in an efficient way, adequate automated micro-spot material characterization tools have to be applied.

#### **Planned steps forward:-**

**1.** Electrochromic measurements on stoichiometric WO3/MoO3 samples (Fig. 9).

2. Further investigation of stoichiometric and substoichiometric oxides for sensoric purposes (Fig. 10 and 11)).

**3.** Ti and Tin Oxide (Sn oxides) combinatorial deposition (Fig. 12) and their measurements.



Figure (9) Liquid cell for elctrochromic measurements in transmission mode



**Fig. 10** Photographs (from different view-angle) of  $WoO_3/MoO_3$  (lower) or  $WoO_{3-r}/MoO_{3-r}$  (upper) combinatorial sets on heat-able sensor chips. Left hand side is W-rich, right one is Mo-rich. No. 6 (middle one) is expected to be 50-50% in both cases. The upper rows show sub oxides (semi-transparent layers, No. 2 was broken during tweezer handling) The bottom rows show oxides (transparent layers).



Fig. 11 EDS spectra of stoichiometric oxides and suboxides of W and Mo Semiquantitave analysis shows 10% oxygen vacancy.



**Fig. 12** Ti and Sn oxides combinatorial deposition. Silicon probes to measure the thickness and composition map.



## Linanks for your attention

